JUDGE McMAHON

HENRY GRADSTEIN (pro hac vice application pending) CIV 5784 hgradstein@gradstein.com

MARYANN R. MARZANO (pro hac vice application pending)

mmarzano@gradstein.com

ROBERT E. ALLEN (pro hac vice application pending)

rallen@gradstein.com

GRADSTEIN & MARZANO, P.C. 6310 San Vicente Blvd., Suite 510

Los Angeles, California 90048

T: 323-776-3100 F: 323-931-4990

and

EVAN S. COHEN (pro hac vice pending)

esc@manifesto.com

1180 South Beverly Drive, Suite 510

Los Angeles, California 90035

T: 310-556-9800 F: 310-556-9801

and

KATHRYN L. CRAWFORD (KC5593)

lboyd@srbr-law.com

RAJIKA L. SHAH (pro hac vice application pending)

rshah@srbr-law.com

KRISTEN L. NELSON (pro hac vice application pending)

knelson@srbr-law.com

SCHWARCZ, RIMBERG, BOYD & RADER, LLP

6310 San Vicente Blvd., Suite 360

Los Angeles, California 90048

T: 323-302-9488 F: 323-931-4990

Attorneys for Plaintiff FLO & EDDIE, INC.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK FLO & EDDIE, INC., a California corporation, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff.

v.

SIRIUS XM RADIO, INC., a Delaware corporation; and DOES 1 through 10,

Defendants.

Civil Action No.:

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

[DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL]

Plaintiff FLO & EDDIE, INC. ("Plaintiff" or "Flo & Eddie") files this class action

Complaint on behalf of itself and on behalf of all other similarly situated owners of sound

recordings of musical performances that initially were "fixed" (*i.e.*, recorded) prior to February

15, 1972 (the "Pre-1972 Recordings") against Defendants SIRIUS XM RADIO, INC.

("Defendant" or "SiriusXM") and DOES 1-10, and alleges as follows:

NATURE OF THE ACTION

- 1. New York common law provides protection for Pre-1972 Recordings from unauthorized reproduction, performance, distribution or other exploitation, and provides the owners of Pre-1972 Recordings the right to bring a claim for common law copyright infringement and a separate claim for unfair competition against parties who engage in such unauthorized actions. A common law copyright infringement claim in New York consists of two elements: (1) the existence of a valid copyright; and (2) unauthorized reproduction of the work protected by the copyright. In addition to these two elements, a claim for unfair competition in New York requires competition in the marketplace or similar actions designed for commercial benefit or deception of the public.
- 2. The principals of Flo & Eddie, Mark Volman and Howard Kaylan, have been performing together as The Turtles since 1965 and have recorded numerous iconic hits including "Happy Together," "It Ain't Me Babe," "She'd Rather Be With Me," "You Baby," "She's My Girl," "Elenore," and many others. Since approximately 1971, Flo & Eddie has owned the entire catalog of 100 original master recordings by The Turtles, all of which were recorded prior to February 15, 1972. Notwithstanding the absence of any license or authorization from Plaintiff, The Turtles recordings can be heard every hour of every day by subscribers in New York to the satellite and Internet services owned by Defendant known as "Sirius Satellite Radio," "XM

Satellite Radio" and "SiriusXM Satellite Radio" (individually and collectively, the "Service"). Plaintiff Flo & Eddie brings this class action on its own behalf and on behalf of all other similarly situated owners of Pre-1972 Recordings (the "Class" or "Class Members") to put an end to SiriusXM's wholesale infringement and misappropriation of their Pre-1972 Recordings and to obtain damages, including punitive damages, and injuctive relief.

The Service is a highly profitable business that engages in the large-scale 3. distribution and public performance of sound recordings to over 24 million subscribers. The Service is distributed in New York to its subscribers through (a) satellite digital transmission directly to subscribers via digital radios manufactured or licensed by SiriusXM; (b) satellite digital transmission to subscribers of other services, such as DIRECTV Satellite Television Service and Dish Network Satellite Television Service via digital set top boxes manufactured or licensed by DIRECTV or Dish; and (c) the Internet, by way of (i) digital media streaming devices, such as Roku, digital radios and home audio systems, such as Sonos; (ii) its website at www.SiriusXM.com; or (iii) computer, smart phone and other mobile applications for various operating systems, including Apple iOS, Android, Windows, Blackberry and HP webOS. In furtherance of the Service, SiriusXM, without any license or authority, has copied Plaintiff's and each Class Members' Pre-1972 Recordings onto the Service's central server(s) and makes such copies available to its subscribers in New York. SiriusXM publicly performs these recordings in New York via streaming audio transmission through the Service for a fee as part of a subscription plan that currently includes up to 72 different music channels. As part of the Service, many subscribers in New York are also able to: (A) download the stream of a selected channel on the Service, allowing later or multiple listenings of the sound recordings previously streamed during the selected time period; (B) download particular sound recordings, allowing

later or multiple listenings of such sound recordings; (C) download particular programs incorporating sound recordings as part of the Service's "On Demand" feature, allowing later or multiple listenings of such sound recordings; and (D) allow subscribers to pause, rewind and replay sound recordings using the Service's "Replay" feature.

4. Simply stated, SiriusXM has disregarded the Plaintiff's and other Class Members' exclusive ownership of their Pre-1972 Recordings in New York, impaired their ability to sell, lawfully exploit, or otherwise control their Pre-1972 Recordings as permitted under New York law and misappropriated same for its own financial gain. SiriusXM's conduct is causing, and will continue to cause, enormous and irreparable harm to Plaintiff and the other Class Members unless compensatory and punitive damages are awarded against SiriusXM and it is enjoined and restrained from engaging in further infringement and misappropriation of the Pre-1972 Recordings.

THE PARTIES, JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 5. Plaintiff Flo & Eddie is a corporation duly organized and existing under the laws of California, with its principal place of business in Los Angeles, California. Plaintiff is engaged in the business of distributing, selling, and/or licensing the reproduction, distribution, sale, and performance of its Pre-1972 Recordings in phonorecords, in audiovisual works, and for streaming (*i.e.*, performing) and downloading over the Internet. Plaintiff invests substantial money, time, effort, and creative talent in creating, advertising, promoting, selling, and licensing its unique and valuable sound recordings.
- 6. Plaintiff possesses exclusive ownership rights in The Turtles Pre-1972 Recordings, the titles of which are specified on the schedule attached hereto as Exhibit A and incorporated herein by reference ("Plaintiff's Recordings"). The United States Congress expressly has

recognized that the states provide exclusive protection through various state law doctrines to recordings "fixed" before February 15, 1972, and that the federal Copyright Act does not "annul[] or limit[]those rights until February 15, 2067." 17 U.S.C. § 301(c). Accordingly, as quoted above, New York law protects the exclusive ownership of Plaintiff and the other Class Members to their Pre-1972 Recordings in New York.

- 7. Upon information and belief, Defendant SiriusXM is a corporation duly organized and existing under the laws of Delaware, with its principal place of business in New York, New York. The Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant in that Defendant's principal place of business is in the County of New York, Defendant is engaged in tortious conduct in New York, and Defendant's conduct causes injury to Plaintiff and the other Class Members in New York.
- 8. This court has subject matter jurisdiction over the subject matter of this class action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d). The amount in controversy exceeds Five Million Dollars (\$5,000,000), there are more than one thousand (1,000) putative Class Members, and the requisite minimal diversity of citizenship exists because Plaintiff and Defendant are citizens of different States.
- 9. Venue of this action is proper in this jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) in that Defendant maintains its principal offices in the County of New York.
- 10. The true names and capacities, whether individual, corporate, associate or otherwise, of defendants named herein as Does 1 through 10, inclusive, are unknown to Plaintiff who therefore sues said defendants by such fictitious names (the "Doe Defendants"). Plaintiff will amend this Complaint to allege their true names and capacities when such have been ascertained. Upon information and belief, each of the Doe Defendants herein is responsible in some manner for the occurrences herein alleged, and Plaintiff's injuries and those of the other

Class Members as herein alleged were proximately caused by such defendants' acts or omissions. (All of the Defendants, including the Doe Defendants, collectively are referred to as "Defendants").

CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS

- 11. Plaintiff brings this action as a class action pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23 on behalf of itself and the other Class Members defined as the owners of Pre-1972 Recordings reproduced, performed, distributed or otherwise exploited by Defendants in New York without a license or authorization to do so during the period from August 10, 2009 to the present. Plaintiff reserves the right to modify this definition of the Class after further discovery; the Court may also be requested to utilize and certify subclasses in the interests of ascertainability, manageability, justice and/or judicial economy.
- 12. This action may be properly brought and maintained as a class action because there is a well-defined community of interest in the litigation and the Class Members are readily and easily ascertainable and identifiable from Defendant SiriusXM's database files and records. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Defendants have engaged a third party to supply the metadata, including the metadata relating to Pre-1972 Recordings unlawfully streamed to subscribers in New York, and that such metadata contains the name and location of the owners thereof. The Class members are further ascertainable through methods typical of class action practice and procedure.
- 13. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and alleges thereon, that the Pre-1972

 Recordings infringed and misappropriated in New York by Defendants number in the millions and are owned by many thousands of Class Members. It is therefore impractical to join all of the Class Members as named Plaintiffs. Further, the claims of the Class Members may range from

smaller sums to larger sums. Accordingly, using the class action mechanism is the most economically feasible means of determining and adjudicating the merits of this litigation.

- 14. The claims of Plaintiff are typical of the claims of the Class Members, and Plaintiff's interests are consistent with and not antagonistic to those of the other Class Members it seeks to represent. Plaintiff and the other Class Members have all been subject to infringement and misappropriation of their Pre-1972 Recordings in New York, have sustained actual pecuniary loss and face irreparable harm from Defendants' continued infringement and misappropriation of their Pre-1972 Recordings.
- 15. Plaintiff has no interests that are adverse to, or which conflict with, the interests of the other Class Members and is ready and able to fairly and adequately represent and protect the interests of the other Class Members. Plaintiff believes strongly in the protection of artists' rights in connection with their creative work. Plaintiff has raised viable claims for infringement and unfair competition of the type well established in New York and reasonably expected to be raised by Class Members. Plaintiff will diligently pursue those claims. If necessary, Plaintiff may seek leave of the Court to amend this Complaint to include additional class representatives to represent the Class or additional claims as may be appropriate. Plaintiff is represented by experienced, qualified and competent counsel who are committed to prosecuting this action.
- 16. Common questions of fact and law exist as to all Class Members that plainly predominate over any questions affecting only individual Class Members. These common legal and factual questions, which do not vary from Class Member to Class Member, and which may be determined without reference to the individual circumstances of any Class Member include, without limitation, the following:

- (A) Whether Defendant SiriusXM reproduced, performed, distributed or otherwise exploited Pre-1972 Recordings in New York;
- (B) Whether Defendant SiriusXM's reproduction, performance, distribution or other exploitation of Pre-1972 Recordings in New York constitutes common law copyright infringement under New York law;
- (C) Whether Defendant SiriusXM's reproduction, performance, distribution or other exploitation of Pre-1972 Recordings in New York constitutes unfair competition in violation of New York law;
- (D) The basis on which restitution and/or damages to all injured members of the Class can be computed;
- (E) Whether Defendant SiriusXM's violation of New York common law for copyright infringement entitles the Class Members to recover punitive damages;
- (F) Whether Defendant SiriusXM's violation of New York common law for copyright infringement is continuing, thereby entitling Class Members to injunctive or other equitable relief;
- (G) Whether Defendant SiriusXM's violation of New York's laws against unfair competition entitles the Class Members to recover punitive damages; and
- (H) Whether Defendant SiriusXM's violation of New Yor's laws against unfair competition is continuing, thereby entitling Class Members to injunctive or other relief.
- 17. A class action is superior to all other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy, since individual litigation of the claims of all Class Members is highly impractical. Even if every Class Member could afford to pursue individual litigation, the

Court system could not. It would be unduly burdensome to the courts in which individual litigation of numerous cases would proceed. Individualized litigation would also present the potential for varying, inconsistent or contradictory judgments and would magnify the delay and expense to all parties and to the court system resulting from multiple trials of the same factual issues. By contrast, maintenance of this action as a class action, with respect to some or all of the issues presented herein, presents few management difficulties, conserves the resources of the parties and of the court system, and protects the rights of each Class Member. Plaintiff anticipates no difficulty in the management of this action as a class action.

- 18. Additionally, the prosecution of separate actions by individual Class Members may create a risk of adjudications with respect to them that would, as a practical matter, be dispositive of the interests of the other Class Members not parties to such adjudications or that would substantially impair or impede the ability of such nonparty Class Members to protect their interests. The prosecution of individual actions by Class Members could establish inconsistent results and incompatible standards of conduct for Defendant SiriusXM.
- 19. Defendants have engaged in common law copyright infringement and unfair competition, which has affected all of the Class Members such that final and injunctive relief on behalf of the Class as a whole is efficient and appropriate.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

(Common Law Copyright Infringement)

- 20. Plaintiff hereby incorporates the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 19, above, as though set forth in full herein.
- 21. The Pre-1972 Recordings are unique intellectual property subject to common law copyright protection under the law of the State of New York.

- 22. As the owners of valid common law copyrights or exclusive licensees in and to the Pre-1972 Recordings, Plaintiff and the other Class Members possess the exclusive rights to reproduce, perform, distribute or otherwise exploit the Pre-1972 Recordings, and license, or refrain from licensing, others to do so.
- 23. Plaintiff and the other Class Members have not authorized or licensed Defendants to reproduce, perform, distribute or otherwise exploit the Pre-1972 Recordings in any manner.
- 24. The reproduction, performance, distribution or other exploitation by Defendants of unauthorized copies of the Pre-1972 Recordings, including, without limitation, those listed in Exhibit A, constitute infringement of Plaintiff and the Other Class Member's common law copyrights in such recordings and violation of their exclusive rights therein. The Plaintiff and Class Members have invested substantial time and money in the development of their Pre-1972 Recordings.
- 25. The Defendants have infringed the copyrights to the Pre-1972 Recordings at little or no cost and without license or authority. They have copied the Pre-1972 Recordings owned by Plaintiff and the other Class Members and publicly perform these recordings in New York for their subscribers as set forth in paragraph 3, above. Defendants have disregarded the Plaintiff's and other Class Members' copyrights in and exclusive ownership of their Pre-1972 Recordings, impaired their ability to sell, lawfully exploit, or otherwise control their Pre-1972 Recordings, all for their own financial gain.
- 26. As a direct and proximate consequence of Defendants' copyright infringement of the Pre-1972 Recordings owned by Plaintiff and the Class Members, Plaintiff and the Class Members have been damaged in an amount that is not as yet fully ascertained but which Plaintiff is informed and believes, and alleges thereon, exceeds \$100,000,000, according to proof.

- 27. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and alleges thereon, that in engaging in the conduct described above, the Defendants acted with oppression, fraud and/or malice. The conduct of the Defendants has been despicable and undertaken in conscious disregard of the Plaintiff's and each Class Member's rights. Accordingly, Plaintiff and the Class Members are entitled to an award of punitive damages against Defendants in an amount sufficient to punish and make an example of them according to proof.
- 28. Defendants' conduct is causing, and unless enjoined and restrained by this Court, will continue to cause, Plaintiff and each Class Member great and irreparable injury that cannot fully be compensated or measured in money, and for which Plaintiff and each Class Member has no adequate remedy at law. Plaintiff and the other Class Members are entitled to temporary, preliminary and permanent injunctions, prohibiting further violation of Plaintiff's and Class Members' rights in and exclusive ownership of their Pre-1972 Recordings in New York.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

(Unfair Competition)

- 29. Plaintiff hereby incorporates the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 28, above, as though set forth herein.
- 30. Defendants have paid nothing to Plaintiff or the Other Class Members for reproducing or distributing the Pre-1972 Recordings. Without expending any time, labor or money of its own, Defendants have simply appropriated the commercial qualities, reputation and salable properties of the Pre-1972 Recordings, including, without limitation those recordings listed on Exhibit A, by unfairly and directly competing with Plaintiff and the other Class Members' use, sale, distribution and exploitation of the Pre-1972 Recordings. In so doing,

Defendants have undermined Plaintiff and the other Class Members' substantial creative and financial investment for Defendants' own commercial benefit.

- 31. Defendants have usurped for itself the fruits of Plaintiff and the other Class Members' financial and creative investments. Defendants are profiting from the results of Plaintiff and the other Class Members' expenditures and skill without having to incur any expense or risk of its own in relation to the Pre-1972 Recordings. Furthermore, Defendants' unauthorized use of the Pre-1972 Recordings is likely to cause confusion, mistake or deception as to the source, sponsorship, affiliation or connection between Plaintiff and the other Class Members, and Defendants.
- 32. Defendants' acts constitute a misappropriation of Plaintiff and the other Class Members' rights in and to the Pre-1972 Recordings, and constitute misappropriation and unfair competition under New York law.
- 33. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' misappropriation and unfair competition, Plaintiff and the Class Members are entitled to recover all proceeds and other compensation received or to be received by Defendants from their misappropriation and unfair competition of the Pre-1972 Recordings. Plaintiff and the members of the Class have been damaged, and Defendants have been unjustly enriched, in an amount that is not as yet fully ascertained but which Plaintiff is informed and believes, and alleges thereon, exceeds \$100,000,000, according to proof at trial. Such damages and/or restitution and disgorgement should include a declaration by this Court that Defendants are constructive trustees for the benefit of Plaintiff and the other Class Members, and an order that Defendants convey to Plaintiff and Class Members the gross receipts received or to be received that are attributable to Defendants misappropriation of the Pre-1972 Recordings.

- 34. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and alleges thereon, that in engaging in the conduct as described above, the Defendants acted with oppression, fraud and/or malice. The conduct of the Defendants has been despicable and undertaken in conscious disregard of Plaintiff's rights. Accordingly, Plaintiff and the Class Members are entitled to an award of punitive damages against Defendants, and each of them, in an amount sufficient to punish and make an example of them according to proof at trial.
- 35. Defendants' conduct is causing, and unless enjoined and restrained by this Court, will continue to cause, Plaintiff and the Class Members great and irreparable injury that cannot fully be compensated or measured in money. Plaintiff and the other Class Members are entitled to temporary, preliminary and permanent injunctions, prohibiting further violation of Plaintiff's and the other Class Members right to exclusive ownership of their Pre-1972 Recordings and further acts of unfair competition and misappropriation.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, on behalf of itself and the other Class Members, prays for Judgment against Defendants, and each of them, as follows:

Regarding the Class Action:

- That this is a proper class action maintainable pursuant to the applicable provisions of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure; and
- 2. That the named Plaintiff is appropriate to be appointed representative of the respective Class.

On The First Cause of Action For Common Law Copyright Infringement against all Defendants:

1. For compensatory damages in excess of \$100,000,000 according to proof at trial;

- 2. Punitive and exemplary damages according to proof trial; and
- 3. A temporary, preliminary, and permanent injunction enjoining and restraining Defendants, and their respective agents, servants, directors, officers, principals, employees, representatives, subsidiaries and affiliated companies, successors, assigns, and those acting in concert with them or at their direction, from directly or indirectly infringing the copyrights in the Pre-1972 Recordings in New York in any manner, including without limitation by directly or indirectly copying, reproducing, downloading, distributing, communicating to the public, uploading, linking to, transmitting, publicly performing, or otherwise exploiting in any manner any of the Pre-1972 Recordings.

On The Second Cause of Action For Unfair Competition against all Defendants:

- 1. For compensatory damages in excess of \$ 100,000,000 according to proof at trial;
- 2. Punitive and exemplary damages according to proof at trial;
- 3. Imposition of a constructive trust;
- 4. Restitution of Defendants' unlawful proceeds, including Defendants' gross profits; and
- 5. A temporary, preliminary, and permanent injunction enjoining and restraining

 Defendants, and their respective agents, servants, directors, officers, principals,

 employees, representatives, subsidiaries and affiliated companies, successors, assigns,

 and those acting in concert with them or at their direction, from directly or indirectly

 misappropriating in any manner the Pre-1972 Recordings, including without limitation by

 directly or indirectly copying, reproducing, downloading, distributing, communicating to

 the public, uploading, linking to, transmitting, publicly performing, or otherwise

 exploiting in any manner any of the Pre-1972 Recordings.

On All Causes of Action:

- 1. For reasonable attorneys' fees and costs as permitted by law;
- 2. For prejudgement interest at the legal rate; and
- 3. For such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

BY

DATED: August 15, 2013

HENRY GRADSTEIN pro hac vice application

pending)

hgradstein@gradstein.com

MARYANN R. MARZANO (pro hac vice

application pending)

mmarzano@gradstein.com

ROBERT E. ALLEN (pro hac vice application

pending)

rallen@gradstein.com

GRADSTEIN & MARZANO, P.C.

6310 San Vicente Blvd., Suite 510

Los Angeles, California 90048

T: 323-776-3100 F: 323-931-4990

and

EVAN S. COHEN (pro hac vice pending)

esc@manifesto.com

1180 South Beverly Drive, Suite 510

Los Angeles, California 90035

T: 310-556-9800 F: 310-556-9801

and

KATHRYN L. CRAWFORD (KC5593)

lboyd@srbr-law.com

RAJIKA L. SHAH (pro hac vice application

pending)

rshah@srbr-law.com

KRISTEN L. NELSON (pro hac vice application

pending)

knelson@srbr-law.com

SCHWARCZ, RIMBERG, BOYD & RADER,

LLP

6310 San Vicente Blvd., Suite 360

Los Angeles, California 90048

T: 323-302-9488 F: 323-931-4990

Attorneys for Plaintiff FLO & EDDIE, INC.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Rule 38(b), Plaintiff FLO & EDDIE, INC. hereby demands a jury trial on all issues so triable.

DATED: August 15, 2013

HENRY GRADSTEIN (pro hac vice application

pending)

hgradstein@gradstein.com

MARYANN R. MARZANO (pro hac vice

application pending)

mmarzano@gradstein.com

ROBERT E. ALLEN (pro hac vice application

pending)

rallen@gradstein.com

GRADSTEIN & MARZANO, P.C.

6310 San Vicente Blvd., Suite 510

Los Angeles, California 90048

T: 323-776-3100 F: 323-931-4990

and

EVAN S. COHEN (pro hac vice pending)

esc@manifesto.com

1180 South Beverly Drive, Suite 510

Los Angeles, California 90035

T: 310-556-9800 F: 310-556-9801

and

KATHRYN L. CRAWFORD (KC5593)

lboyd@srbr-law.com

RAJIKA L. SHAH (pro hac vice application

pending)

rshah@srbr-law.com

KRISTEN L. NELSON (pro hac vice application

pending)

knelson@srbr-law.com

SCHWARCZ, RIMBERG, BOYD & RADER,

LLP

6310 San Vicente Blvd., Suite 360

Los Angeles, California 90048

T: 323-302-9488 F: 323-931-4990

Attorneys for Plaintiff FLO & EDDIE, INC.

EXHIBIT A

!

.

		79	
(1)	All My Problems Almost There Bachelor Nother Ball Bearing, Pall Bearing World Battle Of The Bands Buss Saw Can I Go On Can't I Get To Know You Better Can't You Hear The Cows Cat In The Window Chicken Little Was Right Chief Kaymanagas	(56)	Love In The City
(2)	Almost There	(57)	Love Minus Zero
(3)	Bachelor Nother	a (5B)	Makin' My Mind Un
(4)	Rell Bearing, Pall Bearing	4 (59)	Ne About You
• • •	Vorld	1 (60)	Oh . Daddy
; (5) (6) (7) (8)	Battle Of The Bends	(61)	On A Summerts Day
3 (6)	Burs Sew	4 (62)	Person Without A Care
7 775	Can I Go On	1 (63)	Rugs Of Woods & Flowers
۱ <u>۸</u> ۶ د	Canlt T Get To Know You	76K	Say Gini
- (4)	Retter	(65)	Anottiek Sone
(0)	Can't You Hear The Cows	1665	She Always Leaves Me
1561	Cat In The Window	,,	Tauchine
7775	Chieken Tittle Was Right	(67)	She Culed Weens Prov
1731	Chief Yemenevensies	(-1)	and other arought stom
775(Charletone To My Pine Of Year	1 /681	The dynam to Make Me
1231	Come Book to My 11me of 14m.	760	Speill Come Book
1201	Come Date	-)***(Shale Me Clea
1421	Done Over	(10)	one a wh filt
(10)	Dance inia hance with he	77.7	20 GOES TOAS
. (17)	Down In Suburbia	(32)	So You Want To Be A
2 (18)	Barth Anthen	****	Woman
(19)	Elenore	(13)	Somewhere Friday Hight
(50)	Eve of Destruction	\$742	Song For You
(51)	Flyin' High	(75)	Sound Asleep
(55)	POSSY MATER	(10)	strangers are our Brothers
(53)	FOOD	3226	Strangers Are Our Friends
(24)	Gas Money	(10)	Story of Mack & Holl
(52)	Get Away	(19)	Surrer Dan
(50)	Can't You Hear The Cows Cat In The Window Chicken Little Was Right Chief Kamanawanalea Christmas Is My Time Of Year Come Back Come Over Dance This Dance With Me Down In Suburbia Earth Anthem Elemore Eve Of Destruction Flyin' High Foggy Water Food Gas Money Get Away Give Love A Trial Glitter and Gold Good Bye Surprise The Grim Resper of Love Guide For A Married Man Mappy Together House Of Pain House Of Tain House Of The Kill How You Loved Me I Can't Stop I Get Out Of Breath I Know That You'll Be There I Mesd Someone If Only I Had The Time I'm The Man Is It Any Wonder It Ain't Me Babe	, soul	Teargrops
(27)	Glitter and Gold	(81)	Think I'll Run Away
(28)	Good Bye Surprise	(82)	There You Sit Lonely
(59)	The Grim Reaper of Love	(63)	Tie He Down
+ (30)	Guide For A Married Man	(04)	Too Wuch Heartsick Feelin'
, (31)	Happy Together	1021	Too loung to be one
(32)	Hot Little Hands	(86)	Torn Between Temptations
(33)	House Of Pain	(87)	Undessa And The Dragon
(34)	House On The Hill	(88)	Walk In The Sun
(35)	Now You Loved Me	(89)	Walkin' Song
(36)	I Can't Stop	(30)	war of Germany
(37)	I Get Out Of Breath	(91)	We Ain't Gonna Party
(38)	I know That You'll Be There	****	No More
(39)	I Need Someone	(92)	We Both Were Toung
(40)	If Only I Had The Time	(53)	We'll Meet Again
(41)	I'm The Man	(94)	who would Ever Think
(42)	Is It Any Wonder		That I Would Marry
(43)	It Ain't He Babe		Margaret
(44)	It was A Very Good Year	(95)	Wrong From The Start
(45)	John & Julie	(96)	You Baby
(46)	Just A Room	(97)	You Don't Have To Walk
(47)	Lady-0		In The Rain
(48)	Last Laugh	, (98)	You Know What I Mean
(49)	Last Thing I Remember	· (99)	You Showed Me
(50)	Let No Be	(100)	Your May Said You
(51)	Let The Cold Winds Blow		Cried
(52)	Let's Pack & Beat It		
(53)	Like A Rolling Stone		
(54)	I'm The Man Is It Any Wonder It Ain't Me Bebe It Was A Very Good Year John & Julie Just A Room Lady-O Last Laugh Last Thing I Remember Let Me Be Let The Cold Winds Blow Let's Pack & Beat It Like A Rolling Stons Like It Or Not Like The Seasons		
(55)	Like The Seasons		

SCHEDULE A